

**Plumbing and Mechanical Systems Board
Code Committee Agenda
August 19th, 2013
Lucas Room 518, 11:00 am – 12:00 pm**

Members Present: Mick Gage, Rodney Schultz

Members Absent: Michele Chalfant, Ron Masters

ADHOC Present: John Kelly, Rich Bolten, Brian Bishop

Staff Present: Cindy Houlson, Stephanie Belieu, September Lau, Susan Van Horn

Chair Mick Gage called meeting to order at 11:05 am.

July 15th, 2013 minutes were approved.

Feedback on questions:

•How should we incorporate an amendment for the 661 for the federal funding and law regulations for Health Care?

PMSB doesn't have authority for Health care Facilities that is the DPS responsibilities. September will incorporate the language that was provided by DPS into the draft rule.

•What are Board/DPS roles in interpreting code? Rules will have to identify roles and responsibilities (i.e. DPS inspection authority/ PMSB interpretation authority.)

PMSB does not have authority to do inspections that it would be local jurisdictions that continue to have that authority. DPS suggested a statement in the rules that would reference the authority for inspections will be left to those with residual authority.

September has spoken to Matt Oetker and they are going to meet with DPS AAG's to discuss language for the new rule.

Brian stated that really the only code amendments they had was ASHRAE 62.1, Mechanical Engineers Association had asked for the amendment because rates are more specific in terms of occupancies.

Rodney asked if we can get a copy of that standard and want to know if that was the only thing amended out of IMC. Brian offered to send a copy to Cindy for distribution.

Other business:

September is going to have the draft rules including ASHRAE 62.1 standards at the next meeting. Historically the administrative part of the rule, plan review, inspections, etc has been deleted from the code.

DPS stated that they caught backlash for deleting certain sections by locals because they had to re-adopt some of the language back into their code. They worded in a way that acknowledged local inspection if required. DPS building codes are not statewide and they were able to take out the administrative section.

DPS was wondering if we take all of the administrative language out of the code, do the cities have the ability to make it more restrictive. September stated under our current statute the cities can be more restrictive than the code. Rodney added that his city has city ordinances and that they set the fees for plan review, inspections and other things related. Chapter 1 talks about the International Residential Code, and IMC references the International Residential Code, if we get rid of Chapter 1, we may lose the cross-reference.

DPS stated they have included a transitioning period that went along with the mechanical & building code to allow a 90 day grace period to enforce current code. There is also a 6 month grace period on any project that went through the planning phase prior to code changes.

Rodney Schultz and Blas Hernandez with the help of Brian Bishop will work on the review of the International Mechanical Code for the next meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 11:35 am.

Respectfully submitted by Stephanie Belieu