

**Minutes**  
**Health and Long-term Care Access Advisory Council**  
 November 07, 2011  
 10:00 a.m. – 2:30 p.m.  
 Urbandale Public Library

**Members Present**

Brian Farrell  
 Ryan Hopkins  
 Susan Lutz  
  
 Laura Malone  
 Leah J. McWilliams  
 Catherine Simmons  
 Wendy Gray

**Members Absent**

Carol Alexander  
 Cindy Baddeloo  
 Roy Bardole  
  
 Shelly Chandler  
 Libby Coyte  
 Michele Devlin  
 Steve Johnson  
 Brian Kaskie  
 Daniel Otto  
 Kyle Carlson

**Others Present**

Michelle Holst, Iowa Department of Public Health  
 Kevin Wooddell, Iowa Department of Public Health  
 Doreen Chamberlin, Iowa Department of Public Health  
 Meghan O'Brien Iowa Department of Public Health  
 Gloria Vermie, Iowa Department of Public Health  
 Julie McMahon, Iowa Department of Public Health  
 John Hale, Iowa Care Givers Association  
 Sandy Nelson, Iowa Medical Society  
 Michele Greiner, Iowa Psychological Association  
 Sarah Dixon Gale, Iowa Primary Care Association  
 (rep. for Libby Coyte)

\*Health and Long-Term Care Access Advisory Council Web site [http://www.idph.state.ia.us/hcr\\_committees/care\\_access.asp](http://www.idph.state.ia.us/hcr_committees/care_access.asp)

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Discussion</b>
Introductions and Welcome	Michelle Holst welcomed the attendees to the meeting. Members and guests introduced themselves. Michelle provided an overview of today's agenda.
Iowa Department of Public Health Updates and Looking Ahead  Julie McMahon, Iowa Department of Public Health	<p>Julie McMahon, Division Director, Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention, Iowa Department of Public Health updated the council on IDPH activities.</p> <p>The department's budgets and objectives need to be aligned with the Governor's objectives. The Governor's objectives are increase family income by 25 percent, return Iowa to number one in education, decrease government by 15 percent, and create 200,000 new jobs. An item that could be viewed as a possible fifth objective is the Healthiest State in the Nation initiative.</p> <p><b>Budget</b>            The department started receiving budget questions for state fiscal year 2013 earlier than normal. The 2013 budget is currently 50 percent of the 2012 budget and the department will have to justify any funding over the 50 percent. The department is also required to submit a cost per participant estimate for the department's programs for 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013.</p> <p>The federal budget is currently running on a continuing resolution because a budget for 2012 has not passed. There is talk that there will be reductions in the federal budget. This will impact the department because ~61 percent of the department's budget is federal dollars.</p> <p>Public health modernization continues to be a priority of the department and one part of the Iowa Public Health Standards is workforce.</p>

	<p>The department was awarded a Community Transformation Grant. The grant is a five year project with requirements that 50 percent of funding to local partners and 43 percent of the total award be rural partners. This program is to prevent heart attack, stroke, cancer and other leading causes of death or disability through evidence and practice-based policy, environmental, programmatic, and infrastructure changes.</p>
<p>Community Health Needs Assessment – “Understanding Community Health Needs in Iowa”</p> <p>Meghan O’Brien, Iowa Department of Public Health</p>	<p>Meghan O’Brien, Iowa Department of Public Health presented an overview the 2010-2011 Community Health Needs Assessment and Health Improvement Planning <a href="#">Understanding Community Health Needs in Iowa</a> in Iowa. CHNA &amp; HIP is a fundamental piece of statewide health planning and the needs identified in CHNA &amp; HIP will be incorporated into <a href="#">Healthy Iowans</a>, the statewide needs assessment and planning process.</p> <p>The classifications used in CHNA &amp; HIP were IDPH focus areas, broad category/Healthy People 2020 topic areas, and detailed needs. The detailed need allowed for a broad picture of health needs across Iowa.</p> <p>CHNA &amp; HIP revealed that a number of needs were identified in assessments that were not addressed in health improvement plans. Reasons why needs were not addressed include a lack of financial resources, a lack of human resources, competing projects and priorities, and other ongoing organizational plans.</p> <p>Some of the most frequent broad category areas identified in CHNA &amp; HIP include access to health services; maternal, infant, and child health; environmental health; nutrition and weight status; mental health and mental disorders; and chronic disease. A lack of health services was identified as an issue by 93 counties and a lack of transportation was identified by 41 counties.</p> <p>What does this mean to the Health and Long-term Care Access Advisory Committee? Access to health services issues were identified across the entire state. Rural communities to urban areas identified access to health services needs throughout the entire needs assessment.</p> <p><a href="#">Understanding Community Health Needs in Iowa</a> and additional information on CHNA &amp; HIP can be found on the department’s website at <a href="http://www.idph.state.ia.us/chnahip/default.asp">http://www.idph.state.ia.us/chnahip/default.asp</a>.</p>
<p>Iowa Rural and Agricultural Health and Safety Resource Plan – What’s Happened Since the Report</p> <p>Gloria Vermie, Iowa Department of Public Health</p>	<p>Gloria Vermie, Iowa Department of Public Health provided the council with an update on the Iowa Rural and Agricultural Health and Safety Resource Plan and what has happened since the report was released.</p> <p>The final report was released in July 2011. The report is 121 pages and is available on the State Office of Rural Health’s website at <a href="http://www.idph.state.ia.us/hpcdp/rural_health.asp">http://www.idph.state.ia.us/hpcdp/rural_health.asp</a>. There is also an Executive Summary version available.</p> <p>The report has been distributed at conferences and meetings; promoted in newsletters; promoted on other websites; distributed to congressional offices; presented to three boards and advisory committees; and at national meetings.</p> <p>Because of the inclusion of ATV information in the report, Dr. Chuck Jennissen, Director of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine at The University</p>

	<p>of Iowa, presented to the Iowa Rural Health and Primary Care Advisory Committee. This information and Dr. Jennissen’s presentation lead to the submission of ATV data surveillance objective to Healthy Iowans by the committee.</p>
<p>What’s the Connection</p> <p>Next Steps</p> <p>Evaluation of Meeting and Planning for Next</p> <p>Michelle Holst, IDPH</p>	<p>Michelle Holst facilitated discussion on the information presented to the council in the morning sessions.</p> <p>What’s the connection with the HLTCAs Advisory Council?</p> <p>Flip charts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complexity of the issue</li> <li>Collaboration by different partners including consumers/rural citizens</li> <li>Reimbursement for what’s expected (over/above what the providers already do) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase telemedicine</li> <li>Increase care coordination and/or case management</li> </ul> </li> <li>Social/Economic factors</li> <li>Federal legislation</li> <li>External forces</li> </ul> <p>The council identified the following gaps/issues on flip charts during their discussion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transportation</li> <li>Fragmented Infrastructure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Separate planning</li> <li>System silos</li> </ul> </li> <li>Diversity of population</li> <li>Gap between care coordination and services</li> <li>Retiring workforce</li> <li>Turnover (public health)</li> <li>Aging population</li> </ul> <p>Technology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Technology capacity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Technology interoperability</li> <li>Hardware</li> </ul> </li> <li>Economies of scale <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rural and urban integration</li> <li>Financial and Human resources</li> <li>Hardware, software, and annual maintenance</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Workforce</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Distribution of workforce (rural/urban) and effect of case loads</li> <li>Services for the elderly</li> <li>Scope of practice</li> </ul> <p>Smaller range of services for the elderly in rural areas</p> <p>Barriers – regulatory and cultural – to expanding the array of services for the elderly</p> <p>Regulatory barriers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(doing more with less)</li> <li>More hands-on care and less “compliance”</li> <li>Increase efficiency via technology</li> <li>Expansion of services (e.g. telemedicine)</li> <li>Reimbursement</li> <li>Regulations that are unnecessary</li> </ul>

	<p>Special needs populations (children with disabilities)  Rural  Looking forward 10-15 years -- planning  Consumer awareness of what's coming  Information deficit to make informed decisions (system)</p> <p>Public health professionals  Rural &amp; Urban underserved  More efficient delivery systems  Case management/care coordination – caseloads to high</p> <p>The council discussed council membership and structure. Discussed strategies to enable increased member involvement. It was suggested that the council be divided into its different components. The topic of “access” should be narrowed into more manageable pieces.</p> <p>The council proposed the sub-components of the council and to refocus the council structure. The proposed division is to divide the council into effectiveness/delivery infrastructure and workforce. An outline of the division/components discussed by the council is as follows.</p> <p>Infrastructure  Effectiveness  Efficiency  Quality  Care coordination  Case management  Delivery systems  Reimbursement for what's expected, product and service</p> <p>Workforce  Identify issues that are customarily not raised  Scope of practice for health professionals  Recruitment and retention of health professionals  Expansion of workforce (new types of professions)</p> <p>Age cohort population change projected by 2030 for Iowa  85+ 61% increase  65-84 52% increase  45-64 9% increase  All other cohorts are projected to have a decrease in population</p>

**Next meeting:** To Be Announced