Our first meeting after the Mini Collaborative group kicked off was in mid April. We discussed our goals and objectives for the Community Health Profile and how it related to our QA/QI process. Our agency administrator (who was part of our team) also shared our plan with the Community Pillar which is comprised of community members and key members from both hospitals in our county.

3. Examine the Current Approach

Our QA/QI team met and reviewed the Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) process and brainstormed about the information needed for our Community Health Profile. Our agency and our partners were all obtaining information from many resources/sites/avenues and it was a very time intense process. Some of our information was not current either. Many statistics had to be obtained by calling directly to the each program coordinator at the state for current information. It was identified that basic community health information is not readily available or current. Our AIM statement was developed: By June 30, 2009, develop a list of community indicators for Wright County to be used by our agency and other partners.

4. Identify Potential Solutions

The QA/QI core team identified 3 potential solutions to address:
- Contact partners to get input from them regarding their needs for Community Health Profile information.
- Develop a questionnaire to be filled out by our partners and our agency with their needs/indicators for this profile.
- Brainstorm with our agency and partners to develop indicator list for Community Health Profile to be used throughout our county.

5. Develop an Improvement Theory

After much discussion/brainstorming, the QA/QI Core Team developed the final AIM statement: By June 30, 2009, WCHD QA/QI Core Team (along with our community partners) will develop the community health indicators most important for our county.

6. Test the Theory

WCHD QA/QI Core Team met to complete the PDSA cycle. Our agency met with partners face-to-face, by email, by mail, or by phone to discuss the Community Health Profile and obtain the needed information for our completed indicator list. We were striving for 90% compliance from the contacted partners to respond with their indicator needs for a Community Profile.

7. Check the Results

Many of the partners contacted were appreciative of efforts to try to get more current Community Health Profile information and have it all in a central place. We were not able to meet our goal of 90% response from partners but did have good results from those involved. We were able to combine our list of indicators per partner requests. We found improvements were needed in our plan:
- Need to allow more time for our partners to respond and be involved in this process as schools and some other partners do not work in the Summer and April/May were busy months for them.
- Joint meetings with all partners instead of individual meetings.
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Standardize the Improvement and Establish Future Plans

8. **Standardize the Improvement or Develop New Theory**
The WCHD QA/QI team felt that the PDSA process was extremely valuable and will be used with future projects. The Community Health Profile developed will be shared with all of our own staff and with the involved partners in our county.

9. **Establish Future Plans**
The WCHD plans to use the PDSA cycle for other programs especially with Hospice, Homecare, and our Families programs. The agency is preparing for accreditation in our HOPES/Families program and will use this process for quality improvement as a result of this project. WCHD QA/QI team also feels the storyboard is a great way to present information to our Board of Health and others in a concise manner.